KD DHS Survey Burke Rix Communications

June 15, 2017

Draft - Confidential - Distribution Restricted



Opinion Research on Public Policy

Probolsky Research 3990 Westerly Place Suite 185 Newport Beach CA 92660

San Francisco Washington DC (202) 559-0270

Newport Beach (949) 855-6400 (415) 870-8150

Table of Contents

l.	Survey Methodology	.1
II.	Survey Questionnaire	. 2
III.	Graphic Analysis/Presentation	. 5
IV	Cross Tabulations.	16

KD DHS Survey Burke Rix Communications

Survey Methodology

From Tuesday, May 23 to Wednesday, June 14 2017, Probolsky Research conducted a multi-mode survey of voters within a disadvantaged unincorporated community (DUC) of Riverside County adjacent to the City of Desert Hot Springs.

We began with a live-interviewer telephone survey, completing 30 surveys (60%) from 1,033 attempts to 312 viable phone numbers. Once we exhausted the telephone sample, we moved to the online survey phase and urged participation via email (2%) and text message (10%). Security measures precluded individuals from completing the survey more than once and allowed only the designated voters to complete the survey. Online respondents could use their computer, tablet or smart phone to participate. We sent emails to all voters with valid email addresses three times and sent text messages to all available mobile phone numbers. Please see below for a complete view of the email and text language sent to all available voter emails and mobile phone numbers. We then moved into door-to-door mode (28%) and sent our field team to collect surveys from DUC voters in-person.

A total of 50 voters were surveyed, representing 13% of the surveyed population. Telephone interviews were conducted with respondents on both landline and mobile (76.7%) phones and were offered in English and Spanish (6%) languages.

Our sample was developed from the voter files originally compiled by the Riverside County Registrar of Voters.

Email language:

Subject: Riverside County Annexation Study

Hi,

We would like to invite you to share your opinions about the possible annexation of your area of Riverside County by the City of Desert Hot Springs. This is an important survey and your opinions are highly valued.

This is a brief, five question survey and you will receive <\$10/\$45/\$65>* for your participation.

Please click below to begin:

<LINK>

Thank you

*We began with a \$10 incentive and went up to \$45 and then \$65.

Text language:

Hi <FIRST NAME>, I will send you \$45 to complete a 5-question survey about your unincorporated neighborhood in Riverside County. Click here: <LINK>. –Adam Probolsky



interest clients.

Probolsky Research specializes in opinion research on behalf of corporate, government, non-profit, and special



KD DHS Survey Burke Rix Communications

Survey Questionnaire

Field Dates: From Tuesday, May 23 to Wednesday, June 14 2017

Universe: Voters in the designated disadvantaged unincorporated community (DUC) of Riverside

County

Language: English and Spanish

Hello, may I speal	with? [IF NOT AVAILABLE—SCHEDULE CALLBACK]
This is	with the Probolsky Research an opinion research organization. We are conducting a survey
about issues facir	g your community. Your opinion is important.

1. As you know, you live in an unincorporated area of Riverside County next to the City of Desert Hot Springs. In the near future, you may get to choose whether your community is annexed into and becomes part of the City of Desert Hot Springs. If you were to decide on the possible annexation of your community into the City of Desert Hot Springs, would you support or oppose the annexation?

Support	30.0%
Oppose	60.0%
Unsure [DO NOT READ]	10.0%
Refused [DO NOT READ]	0.0%

Now I am going to read to you some background information about the potential annexation of your unincorporated community into the City of Desert Hot Springs. Please listen to each item and then tell me if it makes you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the potential annexation. If it makes no difference to you just say so.

[ROTATE Q2-4]

2. If your unincorporated area were to become part of the City of Desert Hot Springs, your property taxes would go up because the City has a higher property tax rate than the county. And you would be required to pay a 7% Utility Users Tax on your cable TV, electric, gas, and telephone bills. Does knowing this make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the annexation?

More likely to support annexation (NET)	12.0%
More likely to oppose annexation (NET)	82.0%
Unsure [DO NOT READ]	6.0%
Refused [DO NOT READ]	0.0%

3. If your unincorporated area were to become part of the City of Desert Hot Springs, you and your neighbors would be required to follow city code enforcement rules which govern public nuisances, public health, safety, welfare, building standards and land use. Does knowing this make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the annexation?



More likely to support annexation (NET)	28.0%
More likely to oppose annexation (NET)	66.0%
Unsure [DO NOT READ]	6.0%
Refused [DO NOT READ]	0.0%

4. If your unincorporated area were to be annexed into the City of Desert Hot Springs, you would receive services from the Desert Hot Springs Police Department, which would likely mean faster response times in your community. Does knowing this make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the annexation?

More likely to support annexation (NET)	44.0%
More likely to oppose annexation (NET)	46.0%
Unsure [DO NOT READ]	10.0%
Refused [DO NOT READ]	0.0%

5. Knowing what you know now, if you were to decide today on the possible annexation of your community into the City of Desert Hot Springs, would you support or oppose the annexation?

Support	26.0%
Oppose	68.0%
Unsure [DO NOT READ]	6.0%
Refused [DO NOT READ]	0.0%

Thank you for your time. Have a great (day/evening).




Graphic Analysis/Presentation



60% Oppose the Proposed Annexation of

Their community into the City of Desert Hot Springs

Question: As you know, you live in an unincorporated area of Riverside County next to the City of Desert Hot Springs. In the near future, you may get to choose whether your community is annexed into and becomes part of the City of Desert Hot Springs. If you were to decide on the possible annexation of your community into the City of Desert Hot Springs, would you support or oppose the annexation??






Probolsky Research 3990 Westerly Place Suite 185 Newport Beach CA 92660 USA

Majorities in Both DUC Areas Oppose

The proposed annexation of their community into DHS

Question: As you know, you live in an unincorporated area of Riverside County next to the City of Desert Hot Springs. In the near future, you may get to choose whether your community is annexed into and becomes part of the City of Desert Hot Springs. If you were to decide on the possible annexation of your community into the City of Desert Hot Springs, would you support or oppose the annexation??




82% become More Likely to Oppose the

Annexation knowing their property taxes would go up

Question: Now I am going to read to you some background information about the potential annexation of your unincorporated community into the City of Desert Hot Springs. Please listen to each item and then tell me if it makes you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the potential annexation. If it makes no difference to you just say so.

If your unincorporated area were to become part of the City of Desert Hot Springs, your property taxes would go up because the City has a higher property tax rate than the county. And you would be required to pay a 7% Utility Users Tax on your cable TV, electric, gas, and telephone bills. Does knowing this make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the annexation?






Large Majorities of Voters in Both DUC

Areas are more likely to oppose annexation knowing their property taxes would increase

Question: Now I am going to read to you some background information about the potential annexation of your unincorporated community into the City of Desert Hot Springs. Please listen to each item and then tell me if it makes you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the potential annexation. If it makes no difference to you just say so.

If your unincorporated area were to become part of the City of Desert Hot Springs, your property taxes would go up because the City has a higher property tax rate than the county. And you would be required to pay a 7% Utility Users Tax on your cable TV, electric, gas, and telephone bills. Does knowing this make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the annexation?






66% become More Likely to Oppose

Annexation knowing that DHS city code enforcement rules would apply to them

Question: Now I am going to read to you some background information about the potential annexation of your unincorporated community into the City of Desert Hot Springs. Please listen to each item and then tell me if it makes you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the potential annexation. If it makes no difference to you just say so.

If your unincorporated area were to become part of the City of Desert Hot Springs, you and your neighbors would be required to follow city code enforcement rules which govern public nuisances, public health, safety, welfare, building standards and land use. Does knowing this make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the annexation?






DUC 1 Area A is Split on Code Enforcement

And whether it makes them more likely to support/oppose annexation

Question: Now I am going to read to you some background information about the potential annexation of your unincorporated community into the City of Desert Hot Springs. Please listen to each item and then tell me if it makes you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the potential annexation. If it makes no difference to you just say so.

If your unincorporated area were to become part of the City of Desert Hot Springs, you and your neighbors would be required to follow city code enforcement rules which govern public nuisances, public health, safety, welfare, building standards and land use. Does knowing this make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the annexation?




46% become More Likely to Oppose the

Annexation knowing they would receive services from the DHS Police Department

Question: Now I am going to read to you some background information about the potential annexation of your unincorporated community into the City of Desert Hot Springs. Please listen to each item and then tell me if it makes you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the potential annexation. If it makes no difference to you just say so.

If your unincorporated area were to be annexed into the City of Desert Hot Springs, you would receive services from the Desert Hot Springs Police Department, which would likely mean faster response times in your community. Does knowing this make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the annexation?






DUC 1 Area A is Split on Police Services

And whether they would become more likely to support/oppose the annexation

Question: Now I am going to read to you some background information about the potential annexation of your unincorporated community into the City of Desert Hot Springs. Please listen to each item and then tell me if it makes you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the potential annexation. If it makes no difference to you just say so.

If your unincorporated area were to be annexed into the City of Desert Hot Springs, you would receive services from the Desert Hot Springs Police Department, which would likely mean faster response times in your community. Does knowing this make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the annexation?






Probolsky Research 3990 Westerly Place Suite 185 Newport Beach CA 92660 USA

Opposition towards the Annexation Increases

By 8% after residents hear more information about annexation

Question: Knowing what you know now, if you were to decide today on the possible annexation of your community into the City of Desert Hot Springs, would you support or oppose the annexation?






Probolsky Research 3990 Westerly Place Suite 185 Newport Beach CA 92660 USA

Opposition towards the Annexation Increases

By 10.6% after residents in <u>DUC 1 Area B</u> hear more information

Question: Knowing what you know now, if you were to decide today on the possible annexation of your community into the City of Desert Hot Springs, would you support or oppose the annexation?






Probolsky Research 3990 Westerly Place Suite 185 Newport Beach CA 92660 USA

Cross-tabulations




Q1. As you know, you live in an unincorporated area of Riverside County next to the City of Desert Hot Springs. In the near future, you may get to choose whether your community is annexed into and becomes part of the City of Desert Hot Springs. If you were to decide on the possible annexation of your community into the City of Desert Hot Springs, would you support or oppose the annexation?

-		GE	NDER	F	BORN IN U	JS		AC	E GROU	JP			PARTY		HOUSE	EHOLD E	PARTY		GENDEF	R/AGE		
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	US BORN	FOREIGN BORN	BIRTH- PLACE UN- KNOWN	18-29	30-39	40-54	55-64	65 AND OLDER	REP	DEM	DTS		PURE DEM HOUSE -HOLD	MIXED PARTY HOUSE -HOLD	MEN 18-54		WOMEN 18-54		
Total	50 100.0			36 100.0		100.0	15 100.0	100.0	100.0			17 100.0	17 100.0	11	16 100.0	12 100.0		13	11	14	7	
SUPPORT	15 30.0	16.7	11 52.4	13 36.1	2 15.4	0	10 66.7	1 25.0	0	12.5		3 17.6		6 54.5			8 36.4	3 23.1	9.1	8 57.1	3 42.9	
OPPOSE	30 60.0	17 70.8		21 58.3	8 61.5	100.0	5 33.3	50.0					8 47.1				12 54.5				3 42.9	
UNSURE	5 10.0	3 12.5	2 9.5	2 5.6	3 23.1	0	0		22.2	2 25.0		0		2 18.2	0	3 25.0	9.1	2 15.4	9.1	7.1	1 14.3	
REFUSED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	




Q1. As you know, you live in an unincorporated area of Riverside County next to the City of Desert Hot Springs. In the near future, you may get to choose whether your community is annexed into and becomes part of the City of Desert Hot Springs. If you were to decide on the possible annexation of your community into the City of Desert Hot Springs, would you support or oppose the annexation?

Ease. Issui imenering		PARTY/GENDER							PARTY/AGE							VOTE PROPENSITY									
	TOTAL	GOP MEN	GOP WOMEN	DTS MEN	DTS WOMEN	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN								1 N H T 5/5 4/5 3/5 2/5 1/5 0/5 CH										
Total	50 100.0	100.0	7	5 100.0	6	7	100.0		100.0		_	9	8	8	-	100.0	-	5 100.0	6 100.0	12 100.0	100.0				
SUPPORT	15 30.0	11.1	2 28.6	20.0	5 83.3	2 28.6	4 66.7	14.3	20.0		0		2 25.0		0	22.2	16.7	3 60.0	4 66.7	4 33.3	100.0				
OPPOSE	30 60.0	8 88.9	5 71.4	3 60.0	0	3 42.9	1 16.7	6 85.7		22.2		3 33.3	5 62.5	8 100.0	100.0	7 77.8	66.7	0	1 16.7	7 58.3	0				
UNSURE	5 10.0	0	0	20.0	1 16.7	2 28.6	1 16.7	0	0	1 11.1	1 50.0	22.2	1 12.5	0	0	0	1 16.7	40.0	1 16.7	8.3	0				
REFUSED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				



Q1. As you know, you live in an unincorporated area of Riverside County next to the City of Desert Hot Springs. In the near future, you may get to choose whether your community is annexed into and becomes part of the City of Desert Hot Springs. If you were to decide on the possible annexation of your community into the City of Desert Hot Springs, would you support or oppose the annexation?


Luce. Total Improfiling		TYPI	E OF V	OTER		REGIS'	TRATIO	N DATE		LANGU	JAGE	PHONE '	TYPE	GEOGRAPHY		
	TOTAL	PERM	PREV	ELECT	<1 YR	UP TO 5 YRS	10	UP TO 20 YRS	>20 YRS	ENGLISH	SPANISH	MOBILE PHONE	LAND LINE	DUC 1 AREA A	DUC 1 AREA B	
Total	50 100.0	33 100.0	7	10 100.0	21 100.0	13 100.0			100.0	47 100.0	3 100.0		7	12 100.0	38 100.0	
SUPPORT	15 30.0	7 21.2	28.6	6 60.0		30.8	0	10.0	0	15 31.9	0		14.3	33.3	11 28.9	
OPPOSE	30 60.0	24 72.7	4 57.1			8 61.5	5 100.0		100.0		2 66.7	12 52.2	4 57.1	7 58.3	23 60.5	
UNSURE	5 10.0	2 6.1	14.3	20.0	3 14.3	7.7	0	10.0	0	4 8.5	1 33.3	13.0	28.6	8.3	10.5	
REFUSED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	



Q2. Now I am going to read to you some background information about the potential annexation of your unincorporated community into the City of Desert Hot Springs. Please listen to each item and then tell me if it makes you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the potential annexation. If it makes no difference to you just say so.

If your unincorporated area were to become part of the City of Desert Hot Springs, your property taxes would go up because the City has a higher property tax rate than the county. And you would be required to pay a 7% Utility Users Tax on your cable TV, electric, gas, and telephone bills. Does knowing this make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the annexation?


-		GENDER		BORN IN US				PARTY			HOUSI	EHOLD I	PARTY	GENDER/AGE							
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	BIRTH- PLACE US FOREIGN UN- BORN BORN KNOWN 1			18-29 30-39 40-54 55-64 (65 AND OLDER	ND				DEM HOUSE	MIXED PARTY HOUSE -HOLD	MEN MEN		WOMEN 18-54		
Total	50 100.0	24	21 100.0	36 100.0		100.0	15 100.0	100.0	9	8		17 100.0	17 100.0	11	16 100.0	12 100.0	22 100.0	13	11	14	7
MORE LIKELY TO SUPPORT ANNEXATION (NET)	6 12.0	8.3	19.0	4 11.1	2 15.4	0	6.7	25.0	0	1 12.5	3 21.4			9.1	2 12.5	3 25.0	1 4.5	7.7	9.1	7.1	3 42.9
MORE LIKELY TO OPPOSE ANNEXATION (NET)	41 82.0	20 83.3	16 76.2		10 76.9	100.0	12 80.0	3 75.0	8 88.9	7 87.5	11 78.6	14 82.4	12 70.6	10 90.9	13 81.3	8 66.7	20 90.9	10 76.9	10 90.9	12 85.7	4 57.1
UNSURE	3 6.0	8.3	4.8	2 5.6	7.7	0	13.3	0	11.1	0	0	5.9	11.8	0	6.3	8.3	1 4.5	15.4	0	7.1	0
REFUSED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



Q2. Now I am going to read to you some background information about the potential annexation of your unincorporated community into the City of Desert Hot Springs. Please listen to each item and then tell me if it makes you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the potential annexation. If it makes no difference to you just say so.

If your unincorporated area were to become part of the City of Desert Hot Springs, your property taxes would go up because the City has a higher property tax rate than the county. And you would be required to pay a 7% Utility Users Tax on your cable TV, electric, gas, and telephone bills. Does knowing this make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the annexation?


,			F	PARTY/	GENDER					PARTY	//AGE			VOTE PROPENSITY										
	TOTAL	GOP MEN	GOP WOMEN	DTS MEN	DTS WOMEN	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	GOP GOP DTS DTS DEM DEM 18-54 55+ 18-54 55+							4/5	3/5	2/5	1/5 	0/5	100% NOT HAD THE CHANCE	NEW REG			
Total	50 100.0	9	7	5	6 100.0		100.0					100.0		-	3						100.0			
MORE LIKELY TO SUPPORT ANNEXATION (NET)	6 12.0	0	28.6	0		2 28.6	16.7	0		11.1	0		2 25.0		0			20.0	0		100.0			
MORE LIKELY TO OPPOSE ANNEXATION (NET)	41 82.0	8 88.9	5 71.4	5 100.0	5 83.3	4 57.1	66.7	6 85.7			100.0			100.0	100.0	7 77.8	4 66.7		100.0	10 83.3	0			
UNSURE	3 6.0	11.1	0	0	0		1 16.7	14.3	0	0	0	22.2	0	0	0	0	1 16.7	20.0	0	8.3	0			
REFUSED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			



Q2. Now I am going to read to you some background information about the potential annexation of your unincorporated community into the City of Desert Hot Springs. Please listen to each item and then tell me if it makes you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the potential annexation. If it makes no difference to you just say so.

If your unincorporated area were to become part of the City of Desert Hot Springs, your property taxes would go up because the City has a higher property tax rate than the county. And you would be required to pay a 7% Utility Users Tax on your cable TV, electric, gas, and telephone bills. Does knowing this make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the annexation?


,		TYP	E OF V	OTER		REGIS	TRATIO	N DATE		LANG	JAGE	PHONE '	TYPE	GEOG	RAPHY
	TOTAL	PERM	PREV	ELECT	<1 YR	UP TO 5 YRS	10	UP TO 20 YRS	>20 YRS	ENGLISH	SPANISH	MOBILE PHONE	LAND LINE	DUC 1 AREA A	DUC 1 AREA B
Total	50 100.0	33 100.0	7	10 100.0	21	13 100.0			100.0	47 100.0	100.0	23 100.0	7	12 100.0	38 100.0
MORE LIKELY TO SUPPORT ANNEXATION (NET)	6 12.0	_	2 28.6	20.0	3 14.3	2 15.4		10.0	0	6 12.8	0	3 13.0	0	2 16.7	4 10.5
MORE LIKELY TO OPPOSE ANNEXATION (NET)	41 82.0	29 87.9	57.1	80.0	16 76.2		100.0	80.0	100.0	38 80.9	100.0	18 78.3	85.7		31 81.6
UNSURE	3 6.0	2 6.1	14.3	0	2 9.5	0	0	10.0	0	3 6.4	0	8.7	14.3	0	3 7.9
REFUSED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



Q3. Now I am going to read to you some background information about the potential annexation of your unincorporated community into the City of Desert Hot Springs. Please listen to each item and then tell me if it makes you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the potential annexation. If it makes no difference to you just say so.

If your unincorporated area were to become part of the City of Desert Hot Springs, you and your neighbors would be required to follow city code enforcement rules which govern public nuisances, public health, safety, welfare, building standards and land use. Does knowing this make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the annexation?


-		GE1	NDER	1	BORN IN U	JS 		A(GE GROU	JP			PARTY		HOUSI	EHOLD 1	PARTY		GENDE	R/AGE	
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	US BORN	FOREIGN BORN	BIRTH- PLACE UN- KNOWN	18-29	30-39	40-54	55-64	65 AND OLDER	REP	DEM	DTS		PURE DEM HOUSE -HOLD	MIXED PARTY HOUSE -HOLD	MEN 18-54	MEN 55+	WOMEN 18-54	WOMEN 55+
Total	50 100.0	24	21 100.0	36 100.0	13 100.0	100.0	15 100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		17 100.0	17 100.0	11	16 100.0		22	13	11	14 100.0	7
MORE LIKELY TO SUPPORT ANNEXATION (NET)	14 28.0	8 33.3		-	5 38.5	0	-	2 50.0		2 25.0		2 11.8	7	5 45.5	2 12.5	6 50.0	6 27.3	5 38.5	3 27.3	4 28.6	2 28.6
MORE LIKELY TO OPPOSE ANNEXATION (NET)	33 66.0		12 57.1		8 61.5	100.0	7 46.7	50.0	8 88.9	75.0		14 82.4	8 47.1				15 68.2	8 61.5	72.7	8 57.1	4 57.1
UNSURE	3 6.0	0	3 14.3	3 8.3	0	0	2 13.3	0	0	0	7.1	1 5.9	2 11.8	0	1 6.3	1 8.3	1 4.5	0	0	2 14.3	114.3
REFUSED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



Q3. Now I am going to read to you some background information about the potential annexation of your unincorporated community into the City of Desert Hot Springs. Please listen to each item and then tell me if it makes you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the potential annexation. If it makes no difference to you just say so.

If your unincorporated area were to become part of the City of Desert Hot Springs, you and your neighbors would be required to follow city code enforcement rules which govern public nuisances, public health, safety, welfare, building standards and land use. Does knowing this make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the annexation?


			1	PARTY/	GENDER					PART	Y/AGE					V	OTE PF	ROPENSI	TY		
	TOTAL	GOP MEN	GOP WOMEN	DTS MEN	DTS WOMEN	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	GOP 18-54	GOP 55+	DTS 18-54	DTS 55+	DEM 18-54	DEM 55+	5/5	4/5	3/5	2/5	1/5	0/5	100% NOT HAD THE CHANCE	NEW REG
Total	50 100.0	9	7	5	6	7	6 100.0		100.0				8	8	3	9	100.0	-	100.0	12 100.0	
MORE LIKELY TO SUPPORT ANNEXATION (NET)	14 28.0	11.1	14.3	3 60.0	33.3	57.1	3 50.0		10.0	5 55.6	0	3 33.3	50.0	0	0	3 33.3	C	100.0	3 50.0	16.7	100.0
MORE LIKELY TO OPPOSE ANNEXATION (NET)	33 66.0		5 71.4	2 40.0	4 66.7	3 42.9	1 16.7	6 85.7			100.0	44.4	50.0	100.0		6 66.7		0	3 50.0	8 66.7	0
UNSURE	3 6.0	0	14.3	0	0	0	33.3	0	10.0	0	0	22.2	0	0	0	0	16.7	0	0	16.7	0
REFUSED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0



Q3. Now I am going to read to you some background information about the potential annexation of your unincorporated community into the City of Desert Hot Springs. Please listen to each item and then tell me if it makes you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the potential annexation. If it makes no difference to you just say so.

If your unincorporated area were to become part of the City of Desert Hot Springs, you and your neighbors would be required to follow city code enforcement rules which govern public nuisances, public health, safety, welfare, building standards and land use. Does knowing this make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the annexation?


		TYPE	E OF VO	OTER		REGIS'	TRATIO	N DATE		LANGU	JAGE	PHONE '	TYPE	GEOG	RAPHY	
	TOTAL	PERM	PREV	ELECT	<1 YR	UP TO 5 YRS	10	UP TO 20 YRS	>20 YRS	ENGLISH	SPANISH	MOBILE PHONE	LAND LINE	DUC 1 AREA A	DUC 1 AREA B	
Total	50 100.0	33 100.0	7	10	21	13 100.0		10	100.0	47 100.0	3 100.0	23 100.0	7	12 100.0		
MORE LIKELY TO SUPPORT ANNEXATION (NET)	14 28.0	7 21.2	28.6		9 42.9			10.0	0	12 25.5	2 66.7	8 34.8	3 42.9	6 50.0		
MORE LIKELY TO OPPOSE ANNEXATION (NET)	33 66.0	24 72.7	5 71.4	40.0	11 52.4	8 61.5			100.0	32 68.1	1 33.3	13 56.5		6 50.0		
UNSURE	3 6.0	2 6.1	0	10.0	1 4.8	2 15.4	0	0	0	3 6.4	0	2 8.7	0	0	3 7.9	
REFUSED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	



Q4. Now I am going to read to you some background information about the potential annexation of your unincorporated community into the City of Desert Hot Springs. Please listen to each item and then tell me if it makes you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the potential annexation. If it makes no difference to you just say so.

If your unincorporated area were to be annexed into the City of Desert Hot Springs, you would receive services from the Desert Hot Springs Police Department, which would likely mean faster response times in your community. Does knowing this make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the annexation?


		GE	NDER	1	BORN IN	US		A	GE GRO	UP			PARTY		HOUS	EHOLD	PARTY		GENDE	R/AGE	
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	US BORN	FOREIGN BORN	BIRTH- PLACE UN- KNOWN	18-29	30-39	40-54	55-64	65 AND OLDER	REP	DEM	DTS			MIXED PARTY HOUSE -HOLD	MEN 18-54	MEN 55+	WOMEN 18-54	
Total	50 100.0	24	21 100.0	36 100.0		100.0	15 100.0	100.0	100.0		14 100.0	17 100.0	17 100.0	111	16 100.0				11	14	7
MORE LIKELY TO SUPPORT ANNEXATION (NET)	22 44.0	9 37.5	13 61.9		9 69.2	0	-	3 75.0	11.1	5 62.5	4 28.6	7 41.2	8 47.1	7 63.6	6 37.5				4 36.4	8 57.1	5 71.4
MORE LIKELY TO OPPOSE ANNEXATION (NET)	23 46.0	12 50.0		20 55.6		0	20.0				9 64.3		8 47.1		9 56.3			46.2		5 35.7	1 14.3
UNSURE	5 10.0	3 12.5	2 9.5	3 8.3	1 7.7	100.0	3 20.0	0	0	1 12.5	7.1	1 5.9	1 5.9	9.1	1 6.3	0	18.2	2 15.4	9.1	7.1	1 14.3
REFUSED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



Q4. Now I am going to read to you some background information about the potential annexation of your unincorporated community into the City of Desert Hot Springs. Please listen to each item and then tell me if it makes you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the potential annexation. If it makes no difference to you just say so.

If your unincorporated area were to be annexed into the City of Desert Hot Springs, you would receive services from the Desert Hot Springs Police Department, which would likely mean faster response times in your community. Does knowing this make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the annexation?

-			E	PARTY/	GENDER					PARTY	//AGE					V	OTE PR	OPENSI	TY		
	TOTAL	GOP MEN	GOP WOMEN	DTS MEN	DTS WOMEN	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	GOP 18-54	GOP 55+	DTS 18-54	DTS 55+	DEM 18-54	DEM 55+	5/5	4/5	3/5	2/5	1/5	0/5	100% NOT HAD THE CHANCE	NEW REG
Total	50 100.0	100.0	7	5 100.0	6 100.0		6 100.0						-	8	3	9	-	-	6 100.0	12 100.0	
MORE LIKELY TO SUPPORT ANNEXATION (NET)	22 44.0	3 33.3	57.1	3 60.0	66.7	3 42.9	5 83.3			6 66.7					0	44.4	33.3	100.0	3 50.0	6 50.0	100.0
MORE LIKELY TO OPPOSE ANNEXATION (NET)	23 46.0	5 55.6	3 42.9	2 40.0	1 16.7	3 42.9	1 16.7	4 57.1		22.2		44.4	4 50.0	7 87.5	2 66.7	4 44.4	3 50.0	0	2 33.3	5 41.7	0
UNSURE	5 10.0	11.1	0	0	16.7	14.3	0	14.3	0	11.1	0	0	1 12.5	0		11.1	16.7	0	16.7	8.3	0
REFUSED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0




Q4. Now I am going to read to you some background information about the potential annexation of your unincorporated community into the City of Desert Hot Springs. Please listen to each item and then tell me if it makes you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the potential annexation. If it makes no difference to you just say so.

If your unincorporated area were to be annexed into the City of Desert Hot Springs, you would receive services from the Desert Hot Springs Police Department, which would likely mean faster response times in your community. Does knowing this make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose the annexation?

Base: Total Answering

		TYPE	E OF VO	OTER		REGIS'	TRATION	V DATE		LANGU	JAGE	PHONE '	TYPE	GEOG:	RAPHY
	TOTAL	PERM	PREV	ELECT	<1 YR	UP TO 5 YRS	UP TO 10 YRS	UP TO 20 YRS	>20 YRS	ENGLISH	SPANISH	MOBILE PHONE	LAND LINE	DUC 1 AREA A	DUC 1 AREA B
Total	50 100.0	33 100.0	7	10	21	13 100.0			100.0	47 100.0	3 100.0	23 100.0	7	12 100.0	38 100.0
MORE LIKELY TO SUPPORT ANNEXATION (NET)	22 44.0		3 42.9	80.0	12 57.1	6 46.2	2 40.0	20.0	0	20 42.6	2 66.7		2 28.6		
MORE LIKELY TO OPPOSE ANNEXATION (NET)	23 46.0	19 57.6			6 28.6				100.0	22 46.8	1 33.3	7 30.4	5 71.4	-	
UNSURE	5 10.0	3 9.1	14.3	10.0	3 14.3	7.7	20.0	0	0	5 10.6	0	3 13.0	0	16.7	
REFUSED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



Q5. Knowing what you know now, if you were to decide today on the possible annexation of your community into the City of Desert Hot Springs, would you support or oppose the annexation?

zace: recar rmemerring		GE	NDER		BORN IN U	JS		AC	GE GROU	JP			PARTY		HOUS	EHOLD	PARTY		GENDE	R/AGE	
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	US BORN	FOREIGN BORN	BIRTH- PLACE UN- KNOWN	18-29	30-39	40-54	55-64	65 AND OLDER	REP	DEM	DTS			MIXED PARTY HOUSE -HOLD	MEN 18-54	MEN 55+	WOMEN 18-54	
Total	50 100.0	24		36 100.0		100.0	15 100.0	100.0	9	8		17 100.0	17 100.0	11	16 100.0		22	13	11	14	7
SUPPORT	13 26.0	5 20.8	8 38.1	10 27.8		0		50.0	0	2 25.0	3 21.4	3 17.6	6 35.3		3 18.8	5 41.7		3 23.1	18.2	5 35.7	3 42.9
OPPOSE	34 68.0	17 70.8		26 72.2		100.0	9 60.0	2 50.0		5 62.5			11 64.7	6 54.5			16 72.7	9 69.2	8 72.7	9 64.3	3 42.9
UNSURE	6.0	8.3	4.8	0	3 23.1	0	0	0	11.1	1 12.5	7.1	11.8	0	9.1	2 12.5	0	1 4.5	7.7	9.1	0	14.3
REFUSED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Q5. Knowing what you know now, if you were to decide today on the possible annexation of your community into the City of Desert Hot Springs, would you support or oppose the annexation?

	Answering

Edge: Total Indwelling			E	PARTY/	GENDER					PART	Y/AGE					V	OTE PRO	OPENSI'	TY			
	TOTAL	GOP MEN	GOP WOMEN	DTS MEN	DTS WOMEN	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	GOP 18-54	GOP 55+	DTS 18-54	DTS 55+	DEM 18-54	DEM 55+	5/5	4/5	3/5	2/5	1/5	0/5	100% NOT HAD THE CHANCE	NEW REG	
Total	50 100.0	9	7	5	100.0	7	100.0	7	10	9			8	8	3	100.0	100.0	5	6 100.0	12 100.0	100.0	
SUPPORT	13 26.0	11.1	28.6	20.0	3 50.0	3 42.9	3 50.0	14.3	20.0		0		3 37.5	0	0		16.7	4 80.0	33.3	3 25.0	100.0	
OPPOSE	34 68.0	7 77.8	57.1	60.0	3 50.0	57.1	3 50.0	85.7		4 44.4				100.0		5 55.6	5 83.3	0	66.7	9 75.0	0	
UNSURE	3 6.0	11.1	14.3	20.0	0	0	0	0		1 11.1	0	0	0	0	0	22.2	0	20.0	0	0	0	
REFUSED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Q5. Knowing what you know now, if you were to decide today on the possible annexation of your community into the City of Desert Hot Springs, would you support or oppose the annexation?

base. Total inswelling		TYP	E OF V	OTER		REGIS	TRATIO	N DATE		LANG	JAGE	PHONE '	TYPE	GEOG:	RAPHY
	TOTAL	PERM	PREV	ELECT	<1 YR	UP TO 5 YRS	10	UP TO 20 YRS	>20 YRS	ENGLISH	SPANISH	MOBILE PHONE	LAND LINE	DUC 1 AREA A	DUC 1 AREA B
Total	50 100.0	33 100.0	7	10 100.0	21	13			100.0	47 100.0	3 100.0	23 100.0	7	12 100.0	38 100.0
SUPPORT	13 26.0	7 21.2	2 28.6	40.0	9 42.9	3 23.1	0	10.0	0	13 27.7	0	9 39.1	0	5 41.7	8 21.1
OPPOSE	34 68.0	24 72.7	4 57.1	6 60.0	12 57.1	9 69.2	80.0	80.0	100.0	32 68.1	2 66.7	14 60.9	6 85.7	7 58.3	27 71.1
UNSURE	3 6.0	2 6.1	14.3	0	0	7.7	20.0	10.0	0	2 4.3	1 33.3	0	14.3	0	3 7.9
REFUSED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

QVERSION. PHONE/WEB

Base: Total Sample

Babe. Total bample			NDER]	BORN IN	US		AC	GE GRO	JP			PARTY		HOUS	EHOLD	PARTY		GENDE	R/AGE	
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	US BORN	FOREIGN BORN	BIRTH- PLACE UN- KNOWN	18-29	30-39	40-54	55-64	65 AND OLDER	REP	DEM	DTS		PURE DEM HOUSE -HOLD	MIXED PARTY HOUSE -HOLD	MEN 18-54	MEN 55+	WOMEN 18-54	WOMEN 55+
Total	50 100.0	24		36 100.0	13 100.0	100.0	15 100.0	100.0	100.0			17 100.0	17 100.0	11 100.0	16 100.0			13 100.0	11	14 100.0	7
PHONE	30 60.0		11 52.4		8 61.5	100.0	11 73.3	3 75.0	5 55.6	4 50.0	7 50.0	10 58.8	9 52.9	8 72.7		7 58.3	14 63.6	10 76.9	7 63.6	9 64.3	2 28.6
WEB	2.0	0	4.8	2.8	0	0	6.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 9.1	0	0	1 4.5	0	0	7.1	0
MESSAGE	5 10.0	1 4.2	19.0	4 11.1	7.7	0	2 13.3	0	11.1	1 12.5	7.1	1 5.9	2 11.8	1 9.1	1 6.3	2 16.7	9.1	0	1 9.1	3 21.4	1 14.3
PERSON	14 28 0	6 25.0	5 23.8	10 27.8	30.8	0	1 6.7	1 25.0	33.3	3 37 5	6 42.9	6 35.3	6 35.3	1 9.1	6 37.5	3 25.0	5 22.7	3 23.1	3 27.3	1 7.1	4 57.1

QVERSION. PHONE/WEB

Base: Total Sample

-		PARTY/GENDER							PARTY/AGE						VOTE PROPENSITY							
	TOTAL	GOP MEN	GOP WOMEN	DTS MEN	DTS WOMEN	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	GOP 18-54	GOP 55+	DTS 18-54	DTS 55+	DEM 18-54	DEM 55+	5/5	4/5	3/5	2/5	1/5	0/5	100% NOT HAD THE CHANCE	NEW REG	
Total	50 100.0	100.0	7	5	100.0	7	6		100.0	9		9	8	8	3	9	6	5	6	12 100.0	100.0	
PHONE	30 60.0		3 42.9	80.0	66.7	57.1	66.7	57.1	6 60.0		50.0	6 66.7			66.7	11.1	5 83.3	80.0	5 83.3	8 66.7	100.0	
WEB	1 2.0	0	0	0	16.7	0	0	0	0	11.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8.3	0	
MESSAGE	5 10.0	0	14.3	0		14.3	16.7	0	10.0	11.1	0		1 12.5	0	0	11.1	0	20.0	16.7	16.7	0	
PERSON	14 28.0	33.3	3 42.9	20.0	0	2 28.6	1 16.7	3 42.9		0		22.2		4 50.0	1 33.3	7 77.8	1 16.7	0	0	1 8.3	0	

QVERSION. PHONE/WEB

Base: Total Sample

1		TYPE	E OF V	OTER		REGIST	TRATION	V DATE		LANGUAGE		PHONE TYPE		GEOGRAPHY	
	TOTAL	PERM	PREV	ELECT	<1 YR	UP TO 5 YRS	UP TO 10 YRS	UP TO 20 YRS	>20 YRS	ENGLISH	SPANISH	MOBILE PHONE	LAND LINE		DUC 1 AREA B
Total	50 100.0			10 100.0						47 100.0					
PHONE	30 60.0	19 57.6	28.6	90.0	15 71.4	9 69.2	40.0	40.0	0	27 57.4	100.0	23 100.0	7	5 41.7	25 65.8
WEB	2.0		0	0	1 4.8	0	0	0	0	2.1	0	0	0	8.3	0
MESSAGE	5 10.0	3 9.1	14.3	10.0	19.0	7.7	0	0	0	5 10.6	0	0	0	0	5 13.2
PERSON	14 28.0	10 30.3	4 57.1	0				6 60.0		14 29.8	0	0	0		8 21.1

GENDER

base. Total Answelling	GENDER	BORN IN US	AGE GROUP	PARTY HOUS	SEHOLD PARTY GEI	NDER/AGE
	TOTAL MALE FEMALE	BIRTH- PLACE US FOREIGN UN- BORN BORN KNOWN	- 65 AND 18-29 30-39 40-54 55-64 OLDE:			EN WOMEN WOMEN 5+ 18-54 55+
Total	45 24 22 100.0 100.0 100.0	1 31 13 1 0 100.0 100.0 100.0	15 4 8 8 1 0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.			11 14 7 0.0 100.0 100.0
MALE	24 24 53.3 100.0) 14 9 1 45.2 69.2 100.0	7 2 4 5 0 46.7 50.0 50.0 62.5 60.	6 9 7 5 8 0 56.3 53.8 45.5 53.3	8 6 10 13 8 60.0 50.0 100.0 100	11 0 0 0.0
FEMALE	21 0 23 46.7 100.0	17 4 C 0 54.8 30.8	8 2 4 3 53.3 50.0 50.0 37.5 40.	4 7 6 6 7 0 43.8 46.2 54.5 46.7	4 10 0 7 40.0 50.0	0 14 7 100.0 100.0

GENDER

Base	e: Total Answering]		GENDER					PART	/AGE							OPENSI'				
		TOTAL	GOP	GOP WOMEN	DTS MEN	DTS WOMEN	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	GOP 18-54	GOP 55+	DTS 18-54	DTS 55+	DEM 18-54	DEM 55+	5/5	4/5	3/5	2/5	1/5	0/5	100% NOT HAD THE CHANCE	NEW REG	
Tota	al	45 100.0	100.0	7	5 100.0	6	7	6 100.0		9	-	2	8	-	5 100.0	3 100.0	9	5 100.0	5 100.0	6 100.0	11 100.0	1	
MALI	Ε	24 53.3	100.0		5 100.0	0	7	0	4 57.1	5 55.6	44.4	1 50.0	3 37.5	80.0	80.0	2 66.7	5 55.6	3 60.0	3 60.0	1 16.7	6 54.5	0	
FEMA	ALE	21 46.7	C	7	0	6	0	6	3 42.9	44.4	5 55.6	1 50.0	5 62.5	1 20.0	1 20.0	1 33.3	4 4 4 4	2	2 40.0	5 83.3	5 45.5	1	

GENDER

Base: Total Answering		TYPI	E OF V	OTER		REGIS'	ratio:	N DATE		LANGU	JAGE	PHONE T	TYPE	GEOGF	RAPHY
	TOTAL	PERM	PREV	ELECT	<1 YR	UP TO 5 YRS	UP TO 10 YRS	UP TO 20 YRS	>20 YRS	ENGLISH	SPANISH	MOBILE PHONE	LAND LINE	DUC 1 AREA A	DUC 1 AREA B
Total	45 100.0	30 100.0	100.0	9	19 100.0	12 100.0	5	8	100.0	42 100.0	3	22 100.0	6	11	34 100.0
MALE	24 53.3	18 60.0	4 66.7	22.2	9 47.4	6 50.0	3 60.0	75.0	0	21 50.0	100.0	13 59.1	66.7	7 63.6	17 50.0
FEMALE	21 46.7	12 40.0	2 33.3	7 77.8	10 52.6	6 50.0	2 40.0		100.0	21 50.0	0	9 40.9	2 33.3	4 36.4	17 50.0

BORN IN US

		GENDER			BORN IN	US		A	GE GROU	JP			PARTY		HOUS	EHOLD	PARTY		GENDE	R/AGE	
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	US BORN	FOREIGN BORN	BIRTH- PLACE UN- KNOWN	18-29	30-39	40-54	55-64	65 AND OLDER	REP	DEM	DTS			MIXED PARTY HOUSE -HOLD	MEN 18-54	MEN 55+	WOMEN 18-54	WOMEN 55+
Total	50 100.0	24	21 100.0	36 100.0	13 100.0	100.0	15 100.0	100.0	9	8	14	17 100.0	17 100.0	11	16 100.0	12 100.0		13 100.0	11	14 100.0	7
US BORN	36 72.0	14 58.3	17 81.0	36 100.0	0	0	13 86.7	3 75.0	7 77.8	2 25.0	11 78.6	11 64.7	12 70.6	9 81.8		7 58.3	18 81.8	9 69.2	5 45.5	13 92.9	4 57.1
FOREIGN BORN	13 26.0	9 37.5	19.0	0	13 100.0	0	6.7	1 25.0	22.2	6 75.0	3 21.4	5 29.4	5 29.4	2 18.2	4 25.0	5 41.7	18.2	3 23.1	6 54.5	7.1	3 42.9
BIRTHPLACE UNKNOWN	1 2.0	1 4.2	0	0	0	100.0	1 6.7	0	0	0	0	1 5.9	0	0	1 6.3	0	0	7.7	0	0	0

BORN IN US


base: Iotal Answering	PARTY/GENDER									PART	Y/AGE					V	OTE PRO	OPENSIT	ΓY		
	TOTAL	GOP MEN	GOP WOMEN	DTS MEN	DTS WOMEN	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	GOP 18-54	GOP 55+	DTS 18-54	DTS 55+	DEM 18-54	DEM 55+	5/5	4/5	3/5	2/5	1/5	0/5	100% NOT HAD THE CHANCE	NEW REG
Total	50 100.0	9		5	-		6 100.0		10 100.0	9		9		8	3	9	6	5 100.0	6	12 100.0	100.0
US BORN	36 72.0	5 55.6	5 71.4	3 60.0			5 83.3	5 71.4			100.0	8 88.9		7 87.5	100.0	3 33.3	4 66.7	3 60.0	100.0	9 75.0	100.0
FOREIGN BORN	13 26.0	3 33.3	2 28.6	2 40.0	0	4 57.1	1 16.7	1 14.3	40.0	22.2	0	1 11.1	4 50.0	1 12.5	0	6 66.7	2 33.3	2 40.0	0	2 16.7	0
BIRTHPLACE UNKNOWN	1 2.0	1 11.1	0	0	0	0	0	1 14.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 8.3	0

BORN IN US

Base: Total Answering	=	TYPE	E OF VO	OTER		REGIS'	ration	N DATE		LANGU	JAGE	PHONE '	TYPE	GEOGI	RAPHY
	TOTAL I	PERM	PREV	ELECT	<1 YR	UP TO 5 YRS	UP TO 10 YRS	UP TO 20 YRS	>20 YRS	ENGLISH	SPANISH	MOBILE PHONE	LAND LINE	DUC 1 AREA A	DUC 1 AREA B
Total	50 100.0	33 100.0	7	10	21	13 100.0	5	10	100.0	47 100.0	3 100.0	23 100.0	7	12 100.0	38 100.0
US BORN	36 72.0	23 69.7	57.1	90.0	17 81.0	9 69.2	40.0		100.0	36 76.6	0	17 73.9	4 57.1	8 66.7	28 73.7
FOREIGN BORN	13 26.0	9 27.3	3 42.9	10.0	3 14.3		3 60.0	30.0	0	10 21.3	3 100.0	5 21.7	3 42.9		9 23.7
BIRTHPLACE UNKNOWN	2.0	3.0	0	0	1 4.8	0	0	0	0	2.1	0	1 4.3	0	0	1 2.6

AGE GROUP

,		GEN	NDER	E	BORN IN U	JS		A	GE GRO	JP			PARTY		HOUSE	EHOLD	PARTY		GENDE	R/AGE	
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	US BORN	FOREIGN BORN			30-39	40-54	55-64	65 AND OLDER	REP	DEM	DTS			MIXED PARTY HOUSE -HOLD	MEN 18-54	MEN 55+	WOMEN 18-54	WOMEN 55+
Total	50 100.0	24 100.0	21 100.0	36 100.0	13 100.0	100.0	15 100.0		9		14 100.0							13 100.0	11		
18-29	15 30.0	7 29.2	8 38.1	13 36.1	7.7	100.0	15 100.0		0	0	0	3 17.6	3 17.6	8 72.7	3 18.8	8.3	11 50.0	7 53.8	0	8 57.1	0
30-39	8.0	2 8.3	2 9.5	3 8.3	7.7	0	0	100.0	0	0	0		3 17.6	0		3 25.0		2 15.4	0	2 14.3	0
40-54	9 18.0	16.7	4 19.0	7 19.4	2 15.4	0	0	0	100.0	0	0	3 17.6	3 17.6	9.1	3 18.8	16.7	18.2	4 30.8	0	28.6	0
55-64	8 16.0			2 5.6	6 46.2	0	0	0	0	100.0	0	3 17.6	2 11.8	2 18.2	2 12.5	16.7	18.2	0	5 45.5	0	3 42.9
65 AND OLDER	14 28.0	6 25.0	4 19.0	11 30.6	3 23.1	0	0	0	0	0	14 100.0	7 41.2	6 35.3	0	7 43.8	4 33.3	3 13.6	0	6 54.5	0	4 57.1




AGE GROUP

base. Total Answelling			I	PARTY/	GENDER					PART	Y/AGE					V	OTE PRO	OPENSIT	Ϋ́		
	TOTAL	GOP MEN	GOP WOMEN	DTS MEN	DTS WOMEN	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	GOP 18-54	GOP 55+	DTS 18-54	DTS 55+	DEM 18-54	DEM 55+	5/5	4/5	3/5	2/5	1/5	0/5	100% NOT HAD THE CHANCE	NEW REG
Total	50 100.0	9	7	5			100.0	7	10			9						5 100.0			
18-29	15 30.0	3 33.3	0	3 60.0	5 83.3	0	3 50.0	3 42.9	0	8 88.9	0	3 33.3	0	0	0	0	33.3	2 40.0	66.7	6 50.0	100.0
30-39	4 8.0	0	1 14.3	0	0	2 28.6	1 16.7	14.3	0	0	0	3 33.3	0	0	0	11.1	1 16.7	0	1 16.7	8.3	0
40-54	9 18.0	11.1	28.6	20.0	0	14.3	16.7	3 42.9	0	11.1	0	3 33.3	0	12.5	33.3	22.2	16.7	20.0	16.7	16.7	0
55-64	8 16.0	22.2	14.3	20.0	1 16.7	2 28.6	0	0	30.0	0	100.0	0	2 25.0	2 25.0	0	3 33.3	0	20.0	0	2 16.7	0
65 AND OLDER	14 28.0	33.3	3 42.9	0	0	28.6	1 16.7	0	7 70.0	0	0	0	6 75.0	5 62.5	2 66.7	3 33.3	2 33.3	20.0	0	1 8.3	0

AGE GROUP

65 AND OLDER

Base: Total Answering		TYP:	E OF V	OTER	=====	REGIS'	ration	N DATE		LANG	JAGE	PHONE	TYPE	GEOGI	RAPHY
	TOTAL	PERM	PREV	ELECT	<1 YR	UP TO 5 YRS	UP TO 10 YRS	UP TO 20 YRS	>20 YRS	ENGLISH	SPANISH	MOBILE PHONE	LAND LINE	DUC 1 AREA A	DUC 1 AREA B
Total	50 100.0			10	21 100.0	13 100.0	5		100.0	47 100.0	3 100.0	23 100.0		12 100.0	
18-29	15 30.0		14.3	6 60.0	12 57.1	2 15.4	20.0	0	0	15 31.9	0	10 43.5	14.3	3 25.0	
30-39	8.0	3.0	1 14.3	20.0			20.0		0	4 8.5	0	3 13.0		2 16.7	
40-54	9 18.0	8 24.2	14.3	0	2 9.5	3 23.1	0	40.0	0	8 17.0	33.3	4.3	4 57.1	0	9 23.7
55-64	8 16.0	7 21.2	0	10.0	3 14.3		20.0	0	0	7 14.9	1 33.3	4 17.4	0	2 16.7	6 15.8




5 2 5 9 21.7 28.6 41.7 23.7

PARTY


	Answering	

	GENDER	R BORN IN US	AGE GROUP	PARTY H	HOUSEHOLD PARTY	GENDER/AGE
	TOTAL MALE FEMA	BIRT PLAC US FOREIGN UN- MALE BORN BORN KNOW	CE 65 - AND	RE HO		MEN MEN WOMEN WOMEN 8-54 55+ 18-54 55+
Total	50 24 100.0 100.0 10	21 36 13 100.0 100.0 100.0 100	1 15 4 9 8 14 0.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	17 17 11 100.0 100.0 100.0 10	16 12 22 00.0 100.0 100.0 10	13 11 14 7 00.0 100.0 100.0 100.0
REPUBLICAN	17 9 34.0 37.5 3	7 11 5 33.3 30.6 38.5 100	1 3 1 3 7 0.0 20.0 25.0 33.3 37.5 50.0		16 0 1 00.0 4.5	4 5 3 4 30.8 45.5 21.4 57.1
DEMOCRATIC	17 7 34.0 29.2 2	6 12 5 28.6 33.3 38.5	0 3 3 3 2 6 20.0 75.0 33.3 25.0 42.9	0 17 0 100.0	0 12 5 100.0 22.7	3 4 5 1 23.1 36.4 35.7 14.3
DTS	11 5 22.0 20.8 2	6 9 2 28.6 25.0 15.4	0 8 0 1 2 0 53.3 11.1 25.0	0 0 11 100.0	0 0 11 50.0	4 1 5 1 30.8 9.1 35.7 14.3
OTH	5 3 10.0 12.5	2 4 1 9.5 11.1 7.7	0 1 0 2 1 1 6.7 22.2 12.5 7.1	0 0 0	0 0 5 22.7	2 1 1 1 15.4 9.1 7.1 14.3



PARTY

base. Total Answelling			F	PARTY/	GENDER					PART	Y/AGE					VC	TE PRO	PENSIT	'Y		
	TOTAL	GOP MEN	GOP WOMEN	DTS MEN	DTS WOMEN	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	GOP 18-54	GOP 55+	DTS 18-54	DTS 55+	DEM 18-54	DEM 55+	5/5	4/5	3/5	2/5	1/5	0/5	100% NOT HAD THE CHANCE	NEW REG
Total	50 100.0	9	7	5	6 100.0		100.0		10	9		9	8	8	3	9	6	5	6	12 100.0	1
REPUBLICAN	17 34.0	100.0	100.0	0	0	0	0	100.0	100.0		0	0	0		66.7	44.4	66.7	40.0	0	25.0	0
DEMOCRATIC	17 34.0	0	0	0	0	100.0	100.0		0	0	0	-		3 37.5	1 33.3	44.4	16.7	20.0	3 50.0	4 33.3	0
DTS	11 22.0	0	0	100.0	100.0	0	0	0	0		100.0		0	1 12.5	0	0	0	40.0	3 50.0	33.3	100.0
OTH	5 10.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 25.0	0	1 11.1	1 16.7	0	0	8.3	0



PARTY

Base: Total Answering		TYPI	E OF V	OTER		REGIS'	ration	N DATE		LANGU	JAGE	PHONE '	TYPE	GEOGI	RAPHY
	TOTAL	PERM	PREV	ELECT	<1 YR	UP TO	UP TO 10 YRS	UP TO 20 YRS	>20 YRS	ENGLISH	SPANISH	MOBILE PHONE	LAND LINE	DUC 1 AREA A	DUC 1 AREA B
Total	50 100.0	33 100.0	7	10	21				100.0		3 100.0			12 100.0	
REPUBLICAN	17 34.0	14 42.4	28.6	10.0	5 23.8	30.8	3 60.0	40.0	100.0	16 34.0	33.3	9 39.1	14.3	8.3	16 42.1
DEMOCRATIC	17 34.0			40.0	6 28.6	4 30.8	2 40.0	5 50.0	0	16 34.0	1 33.3	5 21.7	4 57.1	7 58.3	10 26.3
DTS	11 22.0	6 18.2	0	5 50.0	8 38.1	3 23.1	0	0	0	10 21.3	33.3	7 30.4	14.3	3 25.0	8 21.1
OTH	5 10.0	4 12.1	1 14.3	0	2 9.5	2 15.4	0	10.0	0	5 10.6	0	2 8.7	1 14.3	1 8.3	4 10.5

HOUSEHOLD PARTY

		GEI	NDER		BORN IN U	JS		AC	GE GROU	JP			PARTY		HOUS	EHOLD	PARTY		GENDE	R/AGE	
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	US BORN	FOREIGN	BIRTH- PLACE UN- KNOWN	18-29	30-39	40-54	55-64	65 AND OLDER	REP	DEM	DTS		PURE DEM HOUSE -HOLD		MEN 18-54	MEN 55+	WOMEN 18-54	
Total	50 100.0	24	21 100.0	36 100.0		100.0	15 100.0	100.0	9	8	14 100.0	17 100.0	17 100.0	11	16 100.0		22 100.0	13	11	14 100.0	7
PURE REPUBLICAN HOUSEHOLD	16 32.0	8 33.3		11 30.6	4 30.8	100.0	3 20.0	1 25.0	3 33.3	2 25.0	7 50.0	16 94.1	0	0	16 100.0		0	4 30.8	4 36.4	3 21.4	4 57.1
PURE DEMOCRATIC HOUSEHOLD	12 24.0	6 25.0		7 19.4	5 38.5	0	6.7	3 75.0	22.2	2 25.0	4 28.6		12 70.6	0	0	12 100.0	0	3 23.1	3 27.3	3 21.4	1 14.3
MIXED PARTY HOUSEHOLD	22 44.0	10 41.7	10 47.6		4 30.8	0	11 73.3	0	44.4	4 50.0	3 21.4	1 5.9	5 29.4	11 100.0	0	0	22 100.0	6 46.2	4 36.4	8 57.1	2 28.6

HOUSEHOLD PARTY

			F	PARTY/	GENDER					PART	Y/AGE					V	OTE PRO	PENSI'	TY		
	TOTAL	GOP MEN	GOP WOMEN	DTS MEN	DTS WOMEN	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	GOP 18-54	GOP 55+	DTS 18-54	DTS 55+	DEM 18-54	DEM 55+	5/5	4/5	3/5	2/5	1/5	0/5	100% NOT HAD THE CHANCE	NEW REG
Total	50 100.0	9	7	5 100.0	6	7	100.0		100.0		_	9	8	-	3	9	6		100.0	12 100.0	100.0
PURE REPUBLICAN HOUSEHOLD	16 32.0	8 88.9	7	0	0	0	0	7	90.0		0	0	0		66.7	44.4	66.7	40.0	0	3 25.0	0
PURE DEMOCRATIC HOUSEHOLD	12 24.0	0	0	0	0	6 85.7	4 66.7		0	0	0		6 75.0	2 25.0	0	44.4	1 16.7	20.0	2 33.3	16.7	0
MIXED PARTY HOUSEHOLD	22 44.0	1 11.1	0	5	6 100.0	14.3	2 33.3	0	10.0	9	100.0	3 33.3	2 25.0	5 62.5	1 33.3	1 11.1	1 16.7	2 40.0	4 66.7	7 58.3	1


HOUSEHOLD PARTY

2200.	Total	Answering	
sase:	TOLAT	Answering	

-		TYP	E OF V	OTER		REGIS	TRATIO	N DATE		LANGU	JAGE	PHONE '	TYPE	GEOG:	RAPHY
		=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====			======		======	=====	=====	
							UP TO	UP TO						DUC 1	DUC 1
						UP TO	10	20	>20			MOBILE	LAND	AREA	AREA
	TOTAL	PERM	PREV	ELECT	<1 YR	5 YRS	YRS	YRS	YRS	ENGLISH	SPANISH	PHONE	LINE	A	В
Total	50	33	7	10	21	13	5	10	1	47	3	23	7	12	38
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
PURE REPUBLICAN HOUSEHOLD	16	13	2	1	5	3	3	4	1	16	0	8	1	1	15
	32.0	39.4	28.6	10.0	23.8	23.1	60.0	40.0	100.0	34.0		34.8	14.3	8.3	39.5
PURE DEMOCRATIC HOUSEHOLD	12	6	3	3	3	3	1	5	0	11	1	4	3	6	6
	24.0	18.2	42.9	30.0	14.3	23.1	20.0	50.0		23.4	33.3	17.4	42.9	50.0	15.8
MIXED PARTY HOUSEHOLD	22	14	2	6	13	7	1	1	0	20	2	11	3	5	17
	44.0	42.4	28.6		61.9	53.8	20.0	10.0		42.6	66.7	47.8	42.9	41.7	44.7


VOTE PROPENSITY

			NDER		BORN IN U	IS		AC	E GRO	JP			PARTY		HOUSE	EHOLD I	PARTY		GENDE	R/AGE	
	TOTAL		FEMALE	US BORN	FOREIGN	KNOWN	18-29					REP	DEM	DTS	REP HOUSE	HOUSE	MIXED PARTY HOUSE -HOLD	MEN 18-54	MEN 55+	WOMEN 18-54	
Total	50 100.0		21 100.0	36 100.0							14 100.0								11		7
5/5	8 16.0	4 16.7	_	7 19.4	7.7	0	0				5 35.7			1 9.1		2 16.7	5 22.7	7.7	3 27.3		1 14.3
4/5	3 6.0	2 8.3	4.8	3 8.3	0	0	0	0	11.1		2 14.3	211.8	1 5.9	0	2 12.5	0	1 4.5		2 18.2	7.1	0
3/5	9 18.0	5 20.8		3 8.3	6 46.2	0	0	1 25.0	22.2	3 37.5	3 21.4	4 23.5	4 23.5	0	4 25.0	4 33.3	1 4.5	2 15.4	3 27.3	7.1	3 42.9
2/5	6 12.0	3 12.5		4 11.1	2 15.4		2 13.3				2 14.3				4 25.0		1 4.5			7.1	1 14.3
1/5	5 10.0	3 12.5	2 9.5	3 8.3			2 13.3	0	1 11.1	1 12.5	7.1	2 11.8	1 5.9	18.2	2 12.5	8.3	2 9.1	2 15.4	9.1	7.1	1 14.3
0/5	6 12.0	1 4.2	5 23.8	6 16.7	0	0	26.7	1 25.0			0		3 17.6			2 16.7	18.2	7.7	0	5 35.7	0
100% NOT HAD THE CHANCE	12 24.0	6 25.0		9 25.0			6 40.0														1 14.3
NEW REG	1 2.0	0		1 2.8	0	0	1 6.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 9.1	0	0	1 4.5	0	0	7.1	0



VOTE PROPENSITY

			F	PARTY/C	GENDER					PART	Y/AGE					V	OTE PR	OPENSI	TY		
	TOTAL	GOP MEN	GOP WOMEN	DTS MEN	DTS WOMEN	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	GOP 18-54	GOP 55+	DTS 18-54	DTS 55+	DEM 18-54	DEM 55+	5/5	4/5	3/5	2/5	1/5	0/5	100% NOT HAD THE CHANCE	NEW REG
Total	50 100.0	9	7	5	6	7	6	7	10 100.0	9	2	9	8	8	3	9	6	5	6	12 100.0	100.0
5/5	16.0	11.1	114.3	20.0	0	0	0	0	20.0	0	1 50.0	0		100.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4/5	3 6.0	11.1	1 14.3	0	0	1 14.3	0	_	10.0	0	0	0	1 12.5	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
3/5	9 18.0	22.2	28.6	0	0	3 42.9	1 16.7	28.6	20.0	0	0	1 11.1	3 37.5	0	0	100.0	0	0	0	0	0
2/5	6 12.0	11.1	28.6	0	0	1 14.3	0	2 28.6	20.0	0	0	1 11.1	0	0	0	0	100.0	0	0	0	0
1/5	5 10.0	11.1	114.3	20.0	16.7	14.3	0	14.3	10.0	22.2	0	0	1 12.5	0	0	0	0	100.0	0	0	0
0/5	6 12.0	0	0	20.0	2 33.3	0	3 50.0	0	0	3 33.3	0	3 33.3		0	0	0	0	0	6 100.0	0	0
100% NOT HAD THE CHANCE	12 24.0	3 33.3	0	40.0	33.3	14.3	2 33.3	14.3	20.0	3 33.3	1 50.0	44.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12 100.0	0
NEW REG	2.0	0	0	0	1 16.7	0	0	0	0	1 11.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1100.0



VOTE PROPENSITY

_		TYP	E OF V	OTER		REGIS'	FRATIO	N DATE		LANGU	JAGE	PHONE '	TYPE	GEOGI	RAPHY
	TOTAL	PERM	PREV	ELECT	<1 YR	UP TO		20		ENGLISH			LAND LINE	DUC 1 AREA A	
Total	50 100.0	33 100.0	7	10	21 100.0	13 100.0	5	10	100.0	47 100.0	3 100.0	23 100.0	7	12 100.0	38 100.0
5/5	8 16.0	7 21.2	14.3	0	0	4 30.8	0	30.0	100.0	7 14.9	33.3	8.7	28.6	16.7	6 15.8
4/5	3 6.0	3 9.1	0	0	0	7.7	20.0	10.0	0	3 6.4	0	4.3	14.3	8.3	2 5.3
3/5	9 18.0	6 18.2	3 42.9	0	14.8	7.7	40.0	5 50.0	0	17.0	33.3	0	14.3	4 33.3	5 13.2
2/5	6 12.0	3 9.1	28.6	10.0	2 9.5	7.7	40.0	10.0	0	6 12.8	0	4 17.4	14.3	0	6 15.8
1/5	5 10.0	3 9.1	14.3	10.0	3 14.3	2 15.4	0	0	0	4 8.5	33.3	13.0	14.3	8.3	4 10.5
0/5	6 12.0	3 9.1	0	30.0	5 23.8	7.7	0	0	0	6 12.8	0	4 17.4	1 14.3	8.3	5 13.2
100% NOT HAD THE CHANCE	12 24.0	8 24.2	0	40.0	9 42.9	23.1	0	0	0	12 25.5	0	8 34.8	0	3 25.0	9 23.7
NEW REG	1 2.0	0	0	10.0	1 4.8	0	0	0	0	1 2.1	0	1 4.3	0	0	1 2.6

TYPE OF VOTER

		GEI	NDER		BORN IN	US		A	GE GRO	JP			PARTY		HOUS	EHOLD	PARTY		GENDEF	R/AGE	
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	US BORN	FOREIGN BORN	BIRTH- PLACE UN- KNOWN	18-29	30-39	40-54	55-64	65 AND OLDER	REP	DEM	DTS		PURE DEM HOUSE -HOLD	MIXED PARTY HOUSE -HOLD	MEN 18-54	MEN 55+	WOMEN 18-54	
Total	50 100.0	24 100.0	21 100.0	36 100.0	13 100.0	100.0	15 100.0	100.0	100.0	8		17 100.0	17 100.0	11	16 100.0			13	11	14 100.0	7
PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL VOTER	33 66.0		12 57.1	23 63.9	9 69.2	100.0	8 53.3	1 25.0	8 88.9	7 87.5	9 64.3	14 82.4	9 52.9	6 54.5		6 50.0	14 63.6	8 61.5	10 90.9	8 57.1	4 57.1
PREVIOUS VOTE-BY-MAIL VOTER	7 14.0	16.7	2 9.5	4 11.1	3 23.1	0	6.7	1 25.0	1 11.1	0	4 28.6	2 11.8	23.5	0	2 12.5	3 25.0	9.1	3 23.1	1 9.1	0	28.6
ELECTION DAY VOTER	10 20.0	2 8.3	7 33.3	9 25.0	7.7	0	6 40.0	2 50.0	0	1 12.5	1 7.1	1 5.9	4 23.5	5 45.5	1 6.3	3 25.0	6 27.3	2 15.4	0	6 42.9	1 14.3

TYPE OF VOTER

, and the second			I	PARTY/	GENDER					PART	/AGE					V(OTE PRO	OPENSI'	ry		
	TOTAL	GOP MEN	GOP WOMEN	DTS MEN	DTS WOMEN	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	GOP 18-54	GOP 55+	DTS 18-54	DTS 55+	DEM 18-54	DEM 55+	5/5	4/5	3/5	2/5	1/5	0/5	100% NOT HAD THE CHANCE	NEW REG
Total	50 100.0	9	7	5	6 100.0	7	100.0	7	10	9	2	9	8	8	3	9	100.0	5	6	12 100.0	100.0
PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL VOTER	33 66.0	8 88.9	6 85.7	80.0	33.3	57.1	33.3	7	70.0	5 55.6	50.0	33.3	75.0	7 87.5	100.0	6 66.7		3 60.0	3 50.0	8 66.7	0
PREVIOUS VOTE-BY-MAIL VOTER	7 14.0	11.1	1 14.3	0	0	2 28.6	1 16.7	0	20.0	0	0		2 25.0	1 12.5	0	3 33.3	2 33.3	20.0	0	0	0
ELECTION DAY VOTER	10 20.0	0	0	20.0	4 66.7	1 14.3	3 50.0	0	10.0	44.4	1 50.0	44.4	0	0	0	0	1 16.7	1 20.0	3 50.0	4 33.3	1


TYPE OF VOTER

		TYP	E OF V	OTER		REGIS'	TRATIO	N DATE		LANG	JAGE	PHONE '	TYPE	GEOG	RAPHY
	TOTAL	PERM	PREV	ELECT	<1 YR	UP TO 5 YRS	10	UP TO 20 YRS	>20 YRS	ENGLISH	SPANISH	MOBILE PHONE	LAND LINE	DUC 1 AREA A	DUC 1 AREA B
Total	50 100.0	33 100.0		10 100.0	21 100.0	13 100.0	5 100.0	10 100.0	100.0	47 100.0	3 100.0	23 100.0	7	12 100.0	38 100.0
PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL VOTER	33 66.0	33 100.0	-	0	12 57.1	9 69.2	100.0	6 60.0	100.0	30 63.8	100.0	14 60.9	5 71.4		
PREVIOUS VOTE-BY-MAIL VOTER	7 14.0	0	7	0	2 9.5	7.7	0	40.0	0	7 14.9	0	1 4.3	1 14.3	16.7	5 13.2
ELECTION DAY VOTER	10 20.0	0	0	10 100.0	7 33.3	3 23.1	0	0	0	10 21.3	0	8 34.8	1 14.3	1 8.3	9 23.7

REGISTRATION DATE

na

		GE	NDER	E	BORN IN U	JS		A	GE GROU	JP			PARTY		HOUSE	EHOLD :	PARTY		GENDE	R/AGE	
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	US BORN	FOREIGN BORN	BIRTH- PLACE UN- KNOWN	18-29	30-39	40-54	55-64	65 AND OLDER	REP	DEM	DTS	REP HOUSE	PURE DEM HOUSE -HOLD	MIXED PARTY HOUSE -HOLD	MEN 18-54	MEN 55+	WOMEN 18-54	WOMEN 55+
Total	50 100.0	24 100.0	21 100.0	36 100.0	13 100.0	100.0	15 100.0	100.0	9			17 100.0	17 100.0	11				13	11	14 100.0	7
LESS THAN ONE YEAR	21 42.0	9 37.5	10 47.6	17 47.2	3 23.1	100.0	12 80.0	1 25.0	22.2	3 37.5	3 21.4	5 29.4	6 35.3	8 72.7	5 31.3	3 25.0	13 59.1	6 46.2	3 27.3	8 57.1	28.6
UP TO 5 YEARS	13 26.0	6 25.0		9 25.0	30.8	0	2 13.3	1 25.0	3 33.3	4 50.0	3 21.4	23.5	4 23.5	3 27.3	3 18.8	3 25.0	7 31.8	2 15.4	4 36.4	4 28.6	28.6
UP TO 10 YEARS	5 10.0	3 12.5	2 9.5	2 5.6	3 23.1	0	1 6.7	1 25.0			2 14.3		2 11.8	0	3 18.8	1 8.3	1 4.5	7.7	2 18.2	7.1	14.3
UP TO 20 YEARS	10 20.0	6 25.0	2 9.5	7 19.4	3 23.1	0	0	1 25.0	4 44.4	0	5 35.7	23.5	5 29.4	0	4 25.0	5 41.7	1 4.5	4 30.8	2 18.2	7.1	1 14.3
MORE THAN 20 YEARS	1 2.0	0		1 2.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 7.1	1 5.9	0	0	1 6.3	0	0	0	0	0	1 14.3



REGISTRATION DATE

			I	PARTY/	GENDER					PARTY	//AGE					V	OTE PRO	OPENSI'	ΓΥ		
	TOTAL	GOP MEN	GOP WOMEN	DTS MEN	DTS WOMEN	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	GOP 18-54	GOP 55+	DTS 18-54	DTS 55+	DEM 18-54	DEM 55+	5/5	4/5	3/5	2/5	1/5	0/5	100% NOT HAD THE CHANCE	NEW REG
Total	50 100.0	9	7	5	6		100.0				2						6 100.0	5	6	12 100.0	100.0
LESS THAN ONE YEAR	21 42.0	33.3	14.3	3 60.0	5 83.3	2 28.6	3 50.0	28.6	30.0	7 77.8	50.0	44.4	2 25.0	0	0	11.1	33.3	3 60.0	5 83.3	75.0	100.0
UP TO 5 YEARS	13 26.0	22.2	28.6	2 40.0	1 16.7	1 14.3	2 33.3	14.3	3 30.0	22.2	1 50.0	3 33.3	1 12.5	4 50.0	1 33.3	1 11.1	1 16.7	40.0	1 16.7	3 25.0	0
UP TO 10 YEARS	5 10.0	11.1	28.6	0	0	28.6	0	28.6	10.0	0	0	0	2 25.0	0	33.3	22.2	33.3	0	0	0	0
UP TO 20 YEARS	10 20.0	3 33.3	1 14.3	0	0	2 28.6	1 16.7	2 28.6	20.0	0	0	22.2	3 37.5	3 37.5	33.3	5 55.6	1 16.7	0	0	0	0
MORE THAN 20 YEARS	2.0	0	1 14.3	0	0	0	0	0	10.0	0	0	0		1 12.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

REGISTRATION DATE

Base: Total Answering TYPE OF VOTER REGISTRATION DATE LANGUAGE PHONE TYPE GEOGRAPHY

		=====			=====							======		=====	
						UP TO		UP TO	>20			MOBILE	LAND	DUC 1 AREA	DUC 1 AREA
	TOTAL	PERM	PREV	ELECT	<1 YR	5 YRS	YRS	YRS	YRS	ENGLISH	SPANISH	PHONE	LINE	A	В
Total	50 100.0			10 100.0	21 100.0			10 100.0		47 100.0		23 100.0			
LESS THAN ONE YEAR		12 36.4		70.0	21 100.0		0	0	0	21 44.7	0				17 44.7
UP TO 5 YEARS				30.0		13 100.0		0	0	11 23.4	2 66.7	7 30.4	2 28.6	16.7	11 28.9
UP TO 10 YEARS	5 10.0	5 15.2	0	0	0	0	100.0	0	0	4 8.5	33.3	4.3	14.3	16.7	7.9
UP TO 20 YEARS	10 20.0	6 18.2	4 57.1	0	0	0	0	10 100.0	0	10 21.3	0	1 4.3	3 42.9	3 25.0	7 18.4
MORE THAN 20 YEARS	2.0	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100.0	2.1	0	0	0	8.3	0

LANGUAGE


base. Total miswelling	GENDER	BORN IN US	AGE GROUP	PARTY HO	OUSEHOLD PARTY	GENDER/AGE
	TOTAL MALE FEMALE	BIRTH- PLACE US FOREIGN UN- BORN BORN KNOWN	- 65 AND 18-29 30-39 40-54 55-64 OLDE			
Total	50 24 2 100.0 100.0 100.	1 36 13 1 0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1 15 4 9 8 1 0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.		16 12 22 1 0.0 100.0 100.0 100.	13 11 14 7 .0 100.0 100.0 100.0
ENGLISH	47 21 2 94.0 87.5 100.	1 36 10 1 0 100.0 76.9 100.0	1 15 4 8 7 1 0 100.0 100.0 88.9 87.5 92.	3 16 16 10 9 94.1 94.1 90.9 100	16 11 20 1 0.0 91.7 90.9 92.	9 14 7 3 81.8 100.0 100.0
SPANISH	3 3 6.0 12.5	0 0 3 0	0 0 1 1 11.1 12.5 7.	1 1 1 1 1 5.9 5.9 9.1	0 1 2 8.3 9.1 7.	1 2 0 0 .7 18.2

LANGUAGE

base. Total Answelling	PARTY/GENDER	PARTY/AGE	VOTE PROPENSITY
	GOP GOP DTS DTS DEM DEM TOTAL MEN WOMEN MEN WOMEN 1	GOP GOP DTS DTS DEM DEM 18-54 55+ 18-54 55+ 18-54 55+	100% NOT HAD THE NEW 5/5 4/5 3/5 2/5 1/5 0/5 CHANCE REG
Total	50 9 7 5 6 7 6 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 1	7 10 9 2 9 8 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	8 3 9 6 5 6 12 1 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0
ENGLISH		7 9 8 2 9 7 100.0 90.0 88.9 100.0 100.0 87.5	7 3 8 6 4 6 12 1 87.5 100.0 88.9 100.0 80.0 100.0 100.0 100.0
SPANISH	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 12.5 11.1 20.0

LANGUAGE

base. Total Answelling		TYPE	OF V	OTER		REGIST	TRATION	N DATE		LANG	JAGE	PHONE	TYPE	GEOGE	RAPHY
	TOTAL	PERM	PREV	ELECT	<1 YR	UP TO 5 YRS	UP TO 10 YRS	UP TO 20 YRS	>20 YRS	ENGLISH	SPANISH	MOBILE PHONE	LAND LINE	DUC 1 AREA A	DUC 1 AREA B
Total	50 100.0	33 100.0	7	10 100.0	21 100.0	13 100.0	5		100.0	47 100.0	3 100.0	23 100.0	7	12 100.0	38 100.0
ENGLISH	47 94.0	30 90.9	7	10	21	11 84.6	4 80.0	10	100.0	47 100.0	0	22 95.7		11 91.7	36 94.7
SPANISH	3 6.0	3 9.1	0	0	0	2 15.4	20.0	0	0	0	3 100.0	1 4.3	28.6	1 8.3	2 5.3



PHONE TYPE

Base: Phone Sample

base. Thore bample		GEI	NDER	E	BORN IN U	JS		AC	GE GROU	JP			PARTY		HOUSE	EHOLD 1	PARTY		GENDE	R/AGE	
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	US BORN	FOREIGN BORN	BIRTH- PLACE UN- KNOWN	18-29	30-39	40-54	55-64	65 AND OLDER	REP	DEM	DTS				MEN 18-54	MEN 55+	WOMEN 18-54	WOMEN 55+
Total	30 100.0	17 100.0	11 100.0	21 100.0	8 100.0	100.0	11	3	5	100.0	7	10	9	8	9	7	14 100.0	10	7	9	_
MOBILE PHONE	23 76.7	13 76.5	9 81.8	17 81.0	5 62.5	100.0	10 90.9	3	20.0	100.0	5 71.4	90.0	5 55.6	7 87.5	8 88.9	4 57.1	11 78.6	70.0	6 85.7	7 77.8	100.0
LAND LINE	7 23.3	4 23.5	2 18.2	4 19.0	3 37.5	0	1 9.1	0	4 80.0	0	2 28.6	10.0	4 44.4	1 12.5	1 11.1	3 42.9	3 21.4	30.0	1 14.3	22.2	0

PHONE TYPE


Base: Phone Sample

			F	ARTY/	GENDER					PART	Y/AGE					VC	TE PRO	PENSI	ry			
	TOTAL	GOP MEN	GOP WOMEN	DTS MEN	DTS WOMEN	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	GOP 18-54	GOP 55+	DTS 18-54	DTS 55+	DEM 18-54	DEM 55+	5/5	4/5	3/5	2/5	1/5	0/5	100% NOT HAD THE CHANCE	NEW REG	
Total	30 100.0	6	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	-		100.0		3		2		5	100.0	9	8	100.0	
MOBILE PHONE	23 76.7	100.0	66.7	3 75.0	100.0	50.0	3 75.0	3 75.0	100.0			66.7		50.0	50.0	0		3 75.0		100.0	100.0	
LAND LINE	7 23.3	0	1 33.3	1 25.0	0	2 50.0	1 25.0	1 25.0	0	1 14.3	0		2 66.7	2 50.0	1 50.0	1	1 20.0	1 25.0	1 20.0	0	0	

PHONE TYPE

Base: Phone Sample

*	TYPE OF VOTE	ER REGIST	TRATION DATE	LANGUAGE	PHONE TYPE	GEOGRAPHY
	TOTAL PERM PREV EL	UP TO LECT <1 YR 5 YRS	UP TO UP TO 10 20 >20 YRS YRS YRS	ENGLISH SPANISH	MOBILE LAND PHONE LINE	DUC 1 DUC 1 AREA AREA A B
Total	30 19 2 100.0 100.0 100.0 10	9 15 9 00.0 100.0 100.0	2 4 0 100.0 100.0	27 3 100.0 100.0	23 7 100.0 100.0	5 25 100.0 100.0
MOBILE PHONE	23 14 1 76.7 73.7 50.0 8	8 14 7 88.9 93.3 77.8	1 1 0 50.0 25.0	22 1 81.5 33.3	23 0 100.0	4 19 80.0 76.0
LAND LINE	7 5 1 23.3 26.3 50.0 1	1 1 2 11.1 6.7 22.2		5 2 18.5 66.7	0 7	1 6 20.0 24.0




GEOGRAPHY

base. Total miswelling		GEI	NDER	E	BORN IN U	JS		A(GE GROU	JP			PARTY		HOUSI	EHOLD I	PARTY		GENDER	R/AGE	
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	US BORN	FOREIGN BORN	BIRTH- PLACE UN- KNOWN	18-29	30-39	40-54	55-64	65 AND OLDER	REP	DEM	DTS		DEM HOUSE	MIXED PARTY HOUSE -HOLD	MEN 18-54		WOMEN 18-54	
Total	50 100.0	24		36 100.0	13 100.0	100.0	15 100.0	100.0	9	8	14 100.0	17 100.0	17 100.0	11	16 100.0	12 100.0		13 100.0	11	14 100.0	7
DUC 1 AREA A	12 24.0	7 29.2	19.0	8 22.2	4 30.8	0	_	2 50.0	0	_	5 35.7	1 5.9	7 41.2	3 27.3	1 6.3	6 50.0	_	4 30.8	3 27.3	7.1	3 42.9
DUC 1 AREA B	38 76.0	17 70.8	17 81.0	28 77.8	9 69.2	100.0	12 80.0	2 50.0	9	6 75.0	9 64.3	16 94.1	10 58.8	8 72.7	15 93.8	6 50.0	17 77.3	9 69.2	8 72.7	13 92.9	4 57.1

GEOGRAPHY

Base: Total Answering			P	ARTY/	GENDER					PART	Y/AGE					V	OTE PRO	OPENSI'	ΓΥ			
	TOTAL	GOP MEN	GOP WOMEN	DTS MEN	DTS WOMEN	DEM MEN	DEM WOMEN	GOP 18-54	GOP 55+	DTS 18-54	DTS 55+	DEM 18-54	DEM 55+	5/5	4/5	3/5	2/5	1/5	0/5	100% NOT HAD THE CHANCE	NEW REG	
Total	50 100.0	9	7	5 100.0	6	7	6 100.0	7	10 100.0	-	_	9			3 100.0	9	-	5 100.0	6 100.0	12 100.0	100.0	
DUC 1 AREA A	12 24.0	0	1 14.3	2 40.0	16.7	5 71.4	1 16.7	0		3 33.3	0	_	5 62.5	2 25.0	1 33.3	4444	0	20.0	1 16.7	3 25.0	0	
DUC 1 AREA B	38 76.0	9	6 85.7	3 60.0	5 83.3	28.6	5 83.3	7	90.0	6 66.7	_	7 77.8	3 37.5	6 75.0	2 66.7	5 55.6	6	4 80.0	5 83.3	9 75.0	1100.0	



GEOGRAPHY

Base:	Total	Answering
-------	-------	-----------

	TYPE OF	VOTER	REGISTRATION DATE	LANGUAGE	PHONE TYPE	GEOGRAPHY
	TOTAL PERM PREV	7 ELECT <1 YR		·20 'RS ENGLISH SPANISH	MOBILE LAND PHONE LINE	DUC 1 DUC 1 AREA AREA A B
Total	50 33 100.0 100.0 100	7 10 21 0 100.0 100.0	13 5 10 100.0 100.0 10	1 47 3 00.0 100.0 100.0		12 38 100.0 100.0
DUC 1 AREA A	12 9 24.0 27.3 28	2 1 4 6 10.0 19.0	2 2 3 15.4 40.0 30.0 10	1 11 1 00.0 23.4 33.3	4 1 17.4 14.3	12 0 100.0
DUC 1 AREA B	38 24 76.0 72.7 71	5 9 17 4 90.0 81.0	11 3 7 84.6 60.0 70.0	0 36 2 76.6 66.7	19 6 82.6 85.7	0 38 100.0